

Nature of singularity in Einstein-massless scalar theory

K. S. Virbhadra, S. Jhingan and P. S. Joshi
Theoretical Astrophysics Group
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai 400005, India.

Abstract

We study the static and spherically symmetric exact solution of the Einstein-massless scalar equations given by Janis, Newman and Winicour. We find that this solution satisfies the weak energy condition and has strong globally naked singularity.

To appear in Int. J. Mod. Phys. D

Although the general theory of relativity is one of the most beautiful physical theory and is supported by experimental evidences with great success, there are some questions which are not yet answered. For instance, Penrose[1], in a classic paper, asked if there is a cosmic censor who forbids the occurrence of naked singularities, clothing each one in an absolute event horizon. Unfortunately, there exists no agreement on a precise statement of cosmic censorship hypothesis. However, it is usually stated that while the energy conditions are satisfied, a globally naked stable singularity cannot be produced by a regular initial data. Whether or not naked singularities exist in nature is a very important issue, because many important results in general relativity are based on the cosmic censorship hypothesis. Moreover, if the naked singularities exist in nature one might have a chance to study the effects of highly curved regions of spacetime. Despite many painstaking efforts, no proof for any version of cosmic censorship hypothesis is known. One of the main obstacles towards achieving this goal is the lack of a precise mathematical formulation describing “a physically realistic system”. When a proof appears difficult it is worth obtaining counterexamples. In this context, several authors have studied gravitational collapse and the cosmic censorship problem in great detail (see [2] and references therein).

It is known that the scalar fields have been suspected for causing the long-range gravitational fields. Inspired by this, many theories have been proposed since before general theory of relativity[3]. After the Brans-Dicke theory[4] was given, the scalar fields minimally as well as conformally coupled to gravitation have been a subject of immense interest to many researchers (see [5]-[7] and references therein). Several authors have put considerable efforts to obtain solutions to the minimally coupled Einstein-massless scalar (EMS) equations[5]-[6]. In the present paper we consider the well-known static and spherically symmetric exact solution of EMS, given by Janis, Newman and Winicour(JNW)[6] and study the nature of singularity in this solution. We use the geometrized units (the gravitational constant $G = 1$, the speed of light in vacuum $c = 1$) and follow the convention that the Latin indices run from 0 to 3 (x^0 is the time coordinate).

The Einstein-massless scalar field equations are

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ij} = 8\pi S_{ij} , \quad (1)$$

where S_{ij} , the energy-momentum tensor of the massless scalar field, is given by

$$S_{ij} = \Phi_{,i} \Phi_{,j} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} g^{ab} \Phi_{,a} \Phi_{,b} , \quad (2)$$

and

$$\Phi_{,i}{}^{;i} = 0 , \quad (3)$$

where, Φ stands for the massless scalar field. R_{ij} is the Ricci tensor and R is the Ricci scalar. Equation (1) with Eq. (2) can be written as

$$R_{ij} = 8\pi \Phi_{,i} \Phi_{,j} . \quad (4)$$

It is usually assumed that for any physically reasonable classical matter

$$T_{ij} V^i V^j \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

where T_{ij} is the energy-momentum tensor and V^i stands for the four-velocity of any timelike observer. This is known as the *weak energy condition*[8]. For any massless scalar field

$$S_{ij} V^i V^j = \left(\Phi_{,i} V^i \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (g_{ij} V^i V^j) g^{ab} \Phi_{,a} \Phi_{,b} . \quad (6)$$

A sufficient condition for a strong curvature singularity, as defined by Tipler et al[9], is that at least along one null geodesic (with an affine parameter k) that ends at singularity $k = 0$, the following is satisfied.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} k^2 R_{ij} v^i v^j \neq 0, \quad (7)$$

where $v^i \equiv \frac{dx^i}{dk}$ is the tangent vector to the null geodesics[10]. For the massless scalar field

$$k^2 R_{ij} v^i v^j = 8\pi k^2 (\Phi_{,i} v^i)^2. \quad (8)$$

JNW[6] obtained static and spherically symmetric exact solution of EMS equations, which is given by the line element

$$ds^2 = B dt^2 - A dr^2 - Dr^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (9)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B &= A^{-1} = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{a_-}{r}}{1 + \frac{a_+}{r}} \right)^{1/\mu}, \\ D &= \left(1 - \frac{a_-}{r} \right)^{1-1/\mu} \left(1 + \frac{a_+}{r} \right)^{1+1/\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and the scalar field

$$\Phi = \frac{\sigma}{\mu} \ln \left(\frac{1 - \frac{a_-}{r}}{1 + \frac{a_+}{r}} \right), \quad (11)$$

where

$$a_{\pm} = r_0(\mu \pm 1)/2 \quad (12)$$

and

$$\mu = \sqrt{1 + 16\pi\sigma^2}. \quad (13)$$

Here r_0 and σ are two constants in the solution. $\sigma = 0$ gives the Schwarzschild solution and $r_0 = 0$ gives the flat spacetime. The only nonvanishing component of the Ricci tensor is

$$R_{rr} = \frac{r_0^2(\mu^2 - 1)}{2(r + a_+)^2(r - a_-)^2}. \quad (14)$$

The nonvanishing components of the Einstein tensor are

$$G_0^0 = -G_1^1 = G_2^2 = G_3^3 = \frac{r_0^2(\mu^2 - 1)}{4(r + a_+)^{2+\frac{1}{\mu}}(r - a_-)^{2-\frac{1}{\mu}}}. \quad (15)$$

As the real scalar field Φ in JNW solution depends only on the radial coordinate, Eq. (6) becomes

$$S_{ij}V^iV^j = (\Phi_{,i}V^i)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ij}V^iV^j)g^{rr}(\Phi_{,r})^2. \quad (16)$$

Thus it is clear that the weak energy condition is satisfied for the JNW spacetime.

It has been a difficult task to determine a curvature singularity in a given spacetime([8]-[11]). The curvature singularity is usually found by showing the divergence of the Kretschmann scalar at a finite affine parameter along some geodesic. The Ricci as well as the Weyl scalars are known to be finite for several types of solutions having curvature singularities. For instance, the Ricci scalar vanishes for electrovac solutions and the Weyl scalar vanishes for any conformally flat spacetime. In any case, the divergence of any of these scalars at a finite affine parameter demonstrates the presence of a curvature singularity.

The Ricci, the Kretschmann, and the Weyl scalars for the JNW metric are, respectively, given by

$$R_{ij}g^{ij} = -G_{ij}g^{ij} = 2G_1^1, \quad (17)$$

$$R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl} = \frac{r_0^2(3\mu^4r_0^2 - 16\mu^2rr_0 - 6\mu^2r_0^2 + 48r^2 + 16rr_0 + 3r_0^2)}{4(r + a_+)^{4+\frac{2}{\mu}}(r - a_-)^{4-\frac{2}{\mu}}} \quad (18)$$

and

$$C_{ijkl}C^{ijkl} = \frac{r_0^2(\mu^2r_0 - 6r - r_0)^2}{3(r + a_+)^{4+\frac{2}{\mu}}(r - a_-)^{4-\frac{2}{\mu}}}. \quad (19)$$

R_{ijkl} and C_{ijkl} are, respectively, the Riemann curvature and the Weyl tensors. It is obvious that these scalars diverge at $r = a_-$ showing a curvature singularity there. Now we investigate

whether or not this singularity is naked. A singularity is called globally naked if there is a future directed causal curve with one end “on the singularity” and the other end on the future null infinity. The null geodesics equations are

$$\frac{dv^i}{dk} + \Gamma_{jk}^i v^j v^k = 0, \quad (20)$$

where

$$g_{ij} v^i v^j = 0. \quad (21)$$

The outgoing radial null geodesics in JNW spacetime are given by

$$\begin{aligned} v^t &= \frac{E}{B}, \\ v^r &= E, \\ v^\theta &= v^\phi = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $E(E > 0)$ is an integration constant. Thus one has

$$r = kE + a_- \quad (23)$$

and

$$dt = \left(\frac{r + a_+}{r - a_-} \right)^\beta dr, \quad (24)$$

where $\beta = 1/\mu$. For finite R ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{a_- + \epsilon}^R \left(\frac{r + a_+}{r - a_-} \right)^\beta dr &< \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (R + a_+)^\beta \int_{a_- + \epsilon}^R \frac{dr}{(r - a_-)^\beta} \\ &= (R + a_+)^\beta \frac{(R - a_-)^{1-\beta}}{(1-\beta)}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which is finite. Thus, the singularity $r = a_-$ is globally naked for all values of the constant parameters r_0 and μ of the JNW solution. Further, as

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} k^2 R_{ij} v^i v^j = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{2} \quad (26)$$

is clearly nonvanishing, the globally naked singularity in JNW solution is a strong curvature singularity.

The cosmic censorship hypothesis has been debated (see Joshi [2] and references therein). Penrose[12] suggested that the possibility that naked singularities may sometimes arise must be considered seriously. In the present paper we have shown that the singularity in the JNW solution is globally naked strong curvature singularity. However, it remains to be investigated whether or not this naked singularity occurs in the collapse from a reasonable nonsingular initial data. Without that, it may not be taken as a serious counterexample to the cosmic censorship. It is also of interest to study whether or not the naked singularity discussed here is stable.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to T P Singh and I H Dwivedi for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] R. Penrose, *Riv. del Nuovo Cim.* **1**, 252 (1969).
- [2] P. S. Joshi, Global aspects in gravitation and cosmology, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993); P. S. Joshi and T. P. Singh, *Phys. Rev.* **D51**, 6778 (1995); K. S. Virbhadra, gr-qc/9606004.
- [3] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and cosmology: principles and applications of the general theory of relativity (John Wiley & Sons, NY, 1972) p.157.
- [4] C. H. Brans and R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 925 (1961).
- [5] R. Penny, *Phys. Rev.* **174**, 1578 (1968); A. I. Janis, D. C. Robinson and J. Winicour, *Phys. Rev.* **186**, 1729 (1969); H. A. Buchdahl, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **9**, 59 (1978); B. C. Xanthopoulos and T. Zannias, *Phys. Rev.* **D40**, 2564 (1989); V. Husain, E. A. Martinez and D. Núñez, *Phys. Rev.* **D50**, 3783 (1994); K. S. Virbhadra, gr-qc/9408035, *Pramana-J. Phys.* **44**, (1995) 317.
- [6] A. I. Janis, E. T. Newman and J. Winicour, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 878 (1968).
- [7] C. G. Callan Jr., S. Coleman and R. Jackiw, *Ann. Phys.(NY)* **59**, 42 (1970); L. Parker, *Phys. Rev.* **D7**, 976 (1973); J. D. Bekenstein, *Ann. Phys.* **82**, 535 (1974); *Ann. Phys.* **91**, 75 (1975); B. C. Xanthopoulos, *J. Math. Phys.* **32**, 1875 (1991); K. S. Virbhadra and J. C. Parikh, *Phys. Lett.* **B331**, 302 (1994); Erratum : *Phys. Lett.* **B340**, 265 (1994).
- [8] R. M. Wald, General Relativity (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984) p.219, p.148.
- [9] F. J. Tipler, C. J. S. Clarke and G. F. R. Ellis, in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, edited by A. Held (Plenum, NY, 1980) p.97.
- [10] B. Waugh and K. Lake, *Phys. Rev.* **D38**, 1315 (1988); A. Ori and T. Piran, *Phys. Rev.* **D42**, 1068 (1990); C. J. S. Clarke and A. Królak, *J. Geom. Phys.* **2**, 127 (1986).
- [11] R. P. Geroch, *Ann. Phys.* **48**, 526 (1968).

[12] R. Penrose, *Nature* **236**, 377 (1972).